

Brussels, XXX [...](2016) XXX draft

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION

of XXX

granting an authorisation for a use of trichloroethylene under Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council (Domo Caproleuna GmbH)

(Text with EEA relevance)

(ONLY THE ENGLISH TEXT IS AUTHENTIC)

{00791.001 / 111 / 00196677.DOC}

EN EN

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION

of XXX

granting an authorisation for a use of trichloroethylene under Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council (Domo Caproleuna GmbH)

(Text with EEA relevance)

(ONLY THE ENGLISH TEXT IS AUTHENTIC)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, amending Directive 1999/45/EC and repealing Council Regulation (EEC) No 793/93 and Commission Regulation (EC) No 1488/94 as well as Council Directive 76/769/EEC and Commission Directives 91/155/EEC, 93/67/EEC, 93/105/EC and 2000/21/EC¹, and in particular Article 64(8) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Trichloroethylene (TCE) is listed in Annex XIV to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 and is therefore subject to the authorisation requirement referred to in Article 56(1)(a) of that Regulation.
- (2) On 29 August 2014, an application for authorisation was submitted by Domo Caproleuna GmbH ('the applicant') in accordance with Article 62 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 for the industrial use of trichloroethylene as an extraction solvent for the purification of caprolactam from caprolactam oil.
- (3) On 18 August 2015, the Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) and the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC) of the European Chemicals Agency adopted their opinions on the application².
- (4) In its opinion, the RAC confirmed that it is not possible to determine a derived noeffect level (DNEL) for the carcinogenic properties of TCE in accordance with Section 6.4 of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 and therefore that TCE is a nonthreshold substance. In accordance with Article 60(3)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006, Article 60(2) of that Regulation does not apply to that substance, and

previous-consultations/-/substance-rev/1643/term

OJ L 396, 30.12.2006, p. 1. http://echa.europa.eu/addressing-chemicals-of-concern/authorisation/applications-for-authorisation-

- therefore an authorisation may only be granted on the basis of Article 60(4) of that Regulation.
- (5) In its opinion, the RAC concluded that the risk management measures and operational conditions as described in the application are appropriate and effective in limiting the risk to workers and the general population that could be potentially exposed via the environment. However the RAC also noted significant uncertainties in the exposure assessment and an excess cancer risk level for (some) workers and thus suggested monitoring arrangements in order to address those uncertainties.
- (6) In its opinion, the SEAC concluded that the overall socio-economic benefits arising from the use of TCE applied for outweigh the risks to human health and the environment arising from that use and that there are no suitable alternatives in terms of their technical and economic feasibility.
- (7) Based on the RAC and the SEAC opinions, and in accordance with Article 60(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006, it is appropriate to authorise the use of TCE applied for, provided that the risk management measures and operational conditions described in the application and in particular in the chemical safety report³, are fully applied.
- (8) In its opinion, the SEAC recommended the review period referred to in Article 60(9)(e) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 to be set at seven years. The recommended review period takes into account on one hand the uncertainties related to the exposure assessment as identified by RAC coupled with the estimated cancer risk level for (some) workers, and on the other hand the fact that the applicant did not identify a feasible alternative, its thirteen-to-fourteen-year-long plan for transition to a hypothetical suitable alternative, should one become available in the future, the long investment cycle, the very high costs of transitioning to an alternative, and the fact that the benefits of continued use outweigh the risk by a very significant margin.
- (9) It is therefore appropriate to set the review period referred to in Article 60(9)(e) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 at seven years as from the sunset date set out in Annex XIV to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006.
- (10) The language used for the description of the risk management measures and operational conditions included in the application for authorisation is different from the official language of the Member State where the use applied for takes place. Therefore, in order to facilitate the enforcement of the authorisation, it is appropriate to include a monitoring arrangement requiring the holder of the authorisation to submit, upon request, a succinct summary of those risk management measures and operational conditions in an official language of the Member State concerned.
- (11) This Decision does not affect either the obligation of the holder of the authorisation to ensure that the exposure to the substance is reduced to as low a level as is technically and practically possible pursuant to Article 60(10) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 or the obligation of the employer to reduce the use of a carcinogen or mutagen at the place of work, in particular by replacing it, in so far as is technically possible in accordance with Article 4(1) of Directive 2004/37 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the protection of workers from the risks related to exposure to

EN EN

http://ec.europa.eu/DocsRoom/documents/14368/attachments/1/translations/en/renditions/native

- carcinogens or mutagens at work, or to prevent and reduce exposure in accordance with Article 5 of that Directive⁴.
- (12) This Decision is without prejudice to any obligation to comply with emission limit values set in accordance with Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵ and Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶, as well as with emission limit values set to achieve compliance with the environmental quality standards established both in Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷ and by Member States in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸. Compliance with the provisions of this Decision should not necessarily result in compliance with emission limit values or environmental quality standards under other Union legislation, which may include separate or more onerous requirements.
- (13) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Committee established under Article 133 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

An authorisation is granted in accordance with Article 60(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 for the following use of trichloroethylene (TCE) (EC No: 201-167-4; CAS No: 79-01-6), subject to the full application of the risk management measures and operational conditions described in the chemical safety report submitted pursuant to Article 62(4)(d) of that Regulation. The authorised use is identified by the following authorisation number:

Authorisation number

Authorised use

REACH/16/8/0

Industrial use of TCE as an extraction solvent for the purification of caprolactam from caprolactam oil

Article 2

As regards the authorised use of TCE, the review period referred to in Article 60(9)(e) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 shall expire on 21 April 2023.

Article 3

The following monitoring arrangements shall apply:

/C}

⁴ OJ L 158, 30.4.2004, p. 50.

Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 on industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control)(OJ L 334, 17.12.2010, p. 17).

Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on environmental quality standards in the field of water policy, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directives 82/176/EEC, 83/513/EEC, 84/156/EEC, 84/491/EEC, 86/280/EEC and amending Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 84).

Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

- (a) the holder of the authorisation shall conduct regular occupational exposure measurements relating to the use described in Article 1. This monitoring shall:
 - (i) take place at least annually;
 - (ii) be based on relevant standard methodologies or protocols;
 - (iii) comprise personal inhalation exposure as well as biomonitoring (measurement of the TCE metabolite trichloroacetic acid in urine);
 - (iv) be representative of the range of tasks with possible exposure to TCE and of the total number of workers that are potentially exposed, including process, maintenance and laboratory workers;
- (b) the information gathered from the measurements referred to in point (a) shall be used to regularly review the effectiveness of the risk management measures and operational conditions and to take action as appropriate;
- (c) the results of the measurements referred to in point (a) and of the outcomes and conclusions of the review carried out in accordance with point (b) shall be documented and included in the review report referred to in Article 61(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 and, upon request, submitted to the competent authority of the Member State where the authorised use takes place;
- (d) on request of the competent authority of the Member State where an authorised use takes place, the holder of the authorisation shall submit to that authority a succinct summary of the applicable risk management measures and operational conditions referred to in Article 1 in an official language of that Member State.

Article 4

This Decision is addressed to Domo Caproleuna GmbH, Bau 3421, Am Haupttor, 06237 Leuna, Germany.

Done at Brussels,

For the Commission Elżbieta BIEŃKOWSKA Member of the Commission